

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,572	05/03/2001	Cary Lee Bates	ROC920010079US1	6826
7590 08/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Gero G. McClellan			LANEAU, RONALD	
Thomason Mo	oser & Patterson, L.L.P.			
3040 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77056-6582			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/848,572	BATES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ronald Laneau	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	June 2004.					
	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07072004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 06/14/2004 has been entered. Claims 1-41 are still pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tedesco et al (US 6,085,888) in view of Freeney, Jr (US 6,490,443) and further in view of Stone et al (US 6,446,045).

Tedesco et al teach a method of operating a reservation control system for reserving items dispensed by a vending machine comprising the steps of: receiving a reservation request from a vending machine computer for an item (see col. 7, lines 1-13); determining whether the item is available at a vending machine (see col. 6, lines 6-26); and reserving the item by placing a hold on it (see col. 8, lines 3-17 and col. 9, lines 34-50). Tedesco et al further teach the steps of transmitting a message indicating that the reservation request has been accepted and the item is reserved for future pickup, along with a message containing a confirmation number (see Fig. 3B). Tedesco et al further teach the step of updating the removing a reservation request upon determining that the item has been purchased from the vending machine (see Fig. 9). Tedesco et al further teach the use of item identifiers (see Fig. 5). Tedesco et al further teaches the step of

Art Unit: 3627

calculating a service charge, where the charge increases as the length of time of the reservation

increases (see Fig. 4).

Tedesco et al do not teach a vending machine network but Freeny, Jr. teaches a computer

network that includes vending machines (see Fig. 7), wherein a user can use a computer or

wireless telephone to place a vending machine order (see, for example, col. 2, lines 3-46).

Neither Tedesco et al nor Freeny, Jr teaches reserving the item to ensure availability of

the item in satisfaction of the reservation request but Stone et al teach a program that ensures

availability of an item by allowing a buyer to reserve a product (col. 23, lines 29-31 and 54-59).

It would have been obvious to employ the teachings of Freeny, Jr. with the invention of

Tedesco et al to allow users to employ a network to allow users to use multiple vending

machines and to place an order through a computer or wireless phone for convenience. It would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize ensure the availability of an item or

a product as taught by Stone et al into the combined device of Tedesco et al and Freeny, Jr

because it would allow a buyer to get possession of the reserved item even if there is a change in

the availability of said item.

Tedesco et al do not teach data structures, however, data structures are common in the art

and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to employ data structures to indicate the number of items available, because data structures

are an efficient means of storing data.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-41 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments with respect to Tedesco at column 9, lines 34-50 are moot in view

Page 4

of the newly added reference that teaches a reserved item or product as claimed (see above

rejection). Therefore, the rejection finally stands.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,572

Art Unit: 3627

• Paulucci et al (US 2002/0077724) teach various combinations of new and existing

Page 5

technology to create vending machines with a number of improvements.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (703) 305-3973. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RI.

Ronald Laneau

Examiner

Art Unit 3627

rl